

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15661 of 1999

Date of Decision: 23.09.2016

Kedar Nath and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Rakesh Lathwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

The admitted position is that both the petitioners do not fulfill the conditions of regularization in the Haryana Government Policy dated 07/18.03.1996 upon which reliance was placed by the petitioners to claim benefit of regularization. Neither of the petitioners have put in three years of service required on the cut off date nor fulfill the condition of a break in service less than one month.

On both these counts, the claim of the petitioners in this petition has to be rejected. There is no error in any of the actions of the Government in not regularizing the services of the petitioners under the 1996 policy.

No merit.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

23.09.2016

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No