

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

Criminal Misc. No. M-10830 of 2016

Date of Decision:-30.3.2016

Nisha Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev Duggal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to protect
the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents
No.3 to 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that
inadvertantly there is a mistake in the memo of parties.
Respondent No.2 was required to be arrayed as Senior
Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, whereas it has wrongly been
typed as Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. However, he

submits that the petitioners have moved the representation dated 23.3.2016 (Annexure P-5) and the same has been addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, therefore, respondent No.2 in the memo of parties may be read as Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot instead of Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

The request is allowed and the respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala be read as Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot. The Registry is directed to carry out necessary correction in the memo of parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners being major have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.3 to 5 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they have moved a representation dated 23.3.2016 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot-respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 and 2 only at this stage.

On asking of the Court Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State. Let requisite number of copies of paper book be supplied to learned State

counsel during the course of the day.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the matter but without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action, as warranted by law, on the representation dated 23.3.2016 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.3 to 5.

March 30, 2016
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE