IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-A- 808 MA-2015 O&M)
Date of decision: 29.04.2016

Satbir Singh

…..Applicant

versus

Joginder Mann

……Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for the applicant

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

The applicant has challenged the order dated 11.3.2015, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ganaur, vide which, on the complaint filed by the complainant, after recording the pre-charge evidence, the charge was not framed and the accused was discharged.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant and have also carefully gone through the file.

I am of the view that the order of discharge is a revisable order and the revision lies before the learned Sessions Judge. As such, the applicant is relegated to avail the remedy of revision before the learned Sessions Judge. Since time has been consumed in the present application filed before this Court, therefore, it is ordered that

GOPAL KRISHAN
2016.05.02 16:53
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

if the applicant files a revision before the Sessions Court within 30 days from today, the Sessions Court shall hear and decide the same on merits, notwithstanding the limitation.

The application is accordingly disposed of.

29.04.2016 **(Kuldip Singh)**
gk **Judge**

GOPAL KRISHAN
2016.05.02 16:53
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh