

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10800 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MARCH 30, 2016**

MANI RANI & ANR.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

At the very outset of the arguments, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that due to inadvertence or some typographical mistake, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali has been shown as State of Haryana whereas, in fact, SAS Nagar, Mohali is a district of State of Punjab.

2. Registry is directed to make the necessary corrections in the memo of parties.

3. This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents

No. 4 to 8.

4. Notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only at this stage.

5. At the asking of Court, Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

6. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali, Punjab, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated March 28, 2016 (Annexure P-5) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

7. However, this order shall not be construed as a measure to treat the marriage of the petitioners valid or legal.

MARCH 30, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE