

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-10792 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.3.2016

Rachhpal Singh @ Bittu

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.186 dated 26.7.2014 under sections 307, 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 I.P.C, registered at Police Station, City Jagraon, District Ludhiana.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard.

Suffice it to notice that F.I.R came to be registered on the statement of Gurcharan Singh in relation to an occurrence that took place on 25.7.2014. In so far as the present petitioner is concerned the specific attribution is of having given an iron rod blow on the head of son of the complainant namely Davinder Singh.

In the light of M.L.R of Davinder Singh furnished by State counsel during the course of hearing, there is medical corroboration to the attribution of an injury on the head.

F.I.R was registered on 26.7.2014. The first application preferred by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking concession of anticipatory bail was dismissed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide order dated 2.9.2014 (Annexure P-3).

Petitioner has been evading the process of law all this while and has not joined investigation.

In view of the categoric attribution of injury on the head of the injured and coupled with the conduct of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to extend to the petitioner the concession of anticipatory bail.

Petition, accordingly, is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

31.3.2016
lucky