

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

***CRM No.M-10787 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:20.05.2016***

Anil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vivek Saini, DAG, Haryana.
....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

CRM No.12113 of 2016:

The instant application seeking amendment in the head note as also in the prayer clause is allowed.

The necessary correction be carried out and the offences would now be read as Sections 392/396/412/414 IPC instead of Sections 396/412/414 IPC.

Application is disposed of.

Main case:

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.8, dated 08.01.2015, under Sections 392/396/412/414 IPC, registered at Police Station Faruknagar, District Gurgaon.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Ved Khaneja who claimed to be running a transport business. Allegations are that a 10 tyre commercial vehicle belonging to the complainant and loaded with the consignment of 1024 tins of refined oil was dispatched on 07.01.2015 but such vehicle along with goods was not traceable.

It is a blind FIR.

The present petitioner is sought to be implicated on the basis of a disclosure statement that he has allegedly suffered before the police while in custody in relation to another FIR. He was accordingly taken on production warrants and is shown to be arrested in the present case on 15.03.2015.

In the trial that has ensued, out of 34 prosecution witnesses cited, 12 have been examined till date.

The trial, as such, would take time to conclude.

In view of the above and without making any observations on merits, the petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Gurgaon.

Disposed of.

20.05.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**