

**Sr. No. 116
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-10708 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.03.2016

Phool Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Amardeep Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.617 dated 09.10.2013, under Sections 420/406/467/468/471/120-B of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard.

Accusations leading to the registration of FIR are that co-accused Deepak Madan had impersonated himself for the real owner of a house, namely, Anoop Sharma and executed the sale deed in respect thereof on 29.06.2012 in favour of one Ravinder Kaur. Subsequently, Ravinder Kaur as also her husband Gurmeet Singh on the basis of such forged sale deed took a loan of Rs.18 lac from the Punjab and Sindh Bank.

The specific accusation and allegation against the present petitioner is that he in the capacity of Numberdar had identified Deepak Madan as the rightful owner i.e. Anoop Sharma

and as such had facilitated in the execution of the forged sale deed dated 29.06.2012.

In the light of such serious allegations, this Court does not find any infirmity in the order dated 15.03.2016 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak declining concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition dismissed.

30.03.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**