

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9046 of 1994
Date of Decision:-20.01.2016.

Bhaga Devi and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

The Assistant Collector 1st Grade, Sonapat and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Ms. Arti Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. B.R. Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.3.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel through whom the instant writ petition was filed has unfortunately passed away. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners states that she informed petitioners so as to obtain instructions from them to argue the case but no one has come forward.

In this view of the matter, when the petitioners have not made any alternative arrangement, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

January 20, 2016.

sandeep sethi