

142 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10674 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MARCH 28, 2016

CHANDA RANI AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Petitioners in person along with
 Mr. Parshotam Lal Singla, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not want to proceed with the instant petition *qua* respondent No.6-Manish Kumar, who is minor and his name be deleted from the arrays of respondents.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking indulgence of this Court for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and family members of petitioner No.2 on account of undue harassment as they feeling apprehension to their lives and liberty, at the hands of private

respondents No. 4, 5 and 7.

4. Both the petitioners are major and are more than 18 years of age, who belong to the same locality. They fell in love with each other for about last one year. They intended to get themselves married after some time or as and when petitioner No.2 attains the age of more than 21 years. They are living together but when parents and parental family of petitioner No. 1 came to know about their love affairs, they are planning to get petitioner No. 1 married with some other person of their choice. Even the private respondents have approached the local police of Police Station Salem Tabri, Ludhiana and have lodged some complaint(s) against petitioner No.2, his mother-Sarabjeet Kaur, minor sister Priya, cousin sisters Mona, daughter of Gurdial Chand and Rajinder Kaur @ Raj, daughter of Resham Lal. The police authorities are harassing the family members of petitioner No.2, at the instance of parents of petitioner No.1.

5. Notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only at this stage.

6. At the asking of Court, Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

7. This Court is satisfied that there is apprehension to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, at the hands of private respondents, who have succeeded in lodging some complaint(s) against petitioner No.2 and his near relations.

8. The present petition is disposed of with the direction to

respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, Punjab to look into the grievances as and when the petitioners approach it and if it is found that protection is required, they be provided necessary protection to safeguard their lives and liberty.

9. A copy of this order be supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel under the signatures of Court Secretary of this Bench.

MARCH 28, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE