

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Misc. No. M-10661 of 2016**

**Date of Decision:-30.3.2016**

Jasveer Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Ms. Harpinder Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.  
is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the  
life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4  
to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners outrightly submits  
that there is typographical error in Annexure P-2. The name of  
petitioner No.2 is Gurpreet Singh, however, it had wrongly been  
typed as Gurpreet Kaur.

On oral request made by learned counsel for the

petitioners, the said correction is ordered to be rectified. Now, Annexure P-2 is to be read document on behalf of petitioner No.2 Gurpreet Singh instead of Gurpreet Kaur. The Registry is directed to carry out necessary correction at appropriate place in Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners being major have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.4 to 6 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they have moved a representation dated 22.3.2016 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur-respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On asking of the Court Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State. Let requisite number of copies of paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the matter but without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action, as warranted by law, on the representation

dated 22.3.2016 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

March 30, 2016  
**Vijay Asija**

**( HARI PAL VERMA )**  
**JUDGE**