

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.10622 of 2016
Date of decision: 24th May, 2016

Meena Rani and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. P.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 22.04.2016 of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Vandana Sharma and the accused persons namely Meena Rani and Pawan Kumar, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure property dispute and the fact that the offences for which the

accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.241 dated 16.11.2013 registered at Police Station Sector 14, Panchkula under Sections 451/406/120B/467/468/471 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 24, 2016
rps