

284

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10619 of 2016

Date of decision: October 03, 2016

Paramvir Bhalla and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.60 dated 25.03.2015, under Sections 457, 380 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Sector 14, Panchkula, petitioners have been arrayed as accused.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 jointly submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties as the rival parties are landlord and tenant. Not only that the other FIR against the tenant has already been quashed by way of compromise.

This Court vide order dated 29.03.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court for recording of statements.

Now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Panchkula stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is found to be genuine and without any influence.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.60 dated 25.03.2015, under Sections 457, 380 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 14, Panchkula alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 03, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No