

217-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10503-2016

Date of Decision : 29.04.2016

Balwan

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. G.S. Kaura, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl.A.G, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

As per prosecution version, the petitioner had been arrested from the spot along with other co-accused. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had in fact been arrested from Rajasthan regarding which there is trustworthy evidence available.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the nature of the defence plea raised, without discussing the same in detail, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition at this stage with a direction to the trial Court to make earnest endeavour to record the statements of all the witnesses within a period of six months including the period of vacation. In case the evidence of the prosecution is not completed within the period of six months after the next date of hearing and the delay is not attributable to the petitioner, the petitioner, depending upon the circumstances, can approach this Court again for grant of bail.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

29.04.2016

Neha