

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-10495 of 2016

Date of decision : 05.04.2016

Dharambir

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Vibha Dhiman, AAG Haryana

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.387 dated 02.10.2014 registered at Police Station Panipat Sadar, District Panipat under Sections 498A/452/ 328/ 304-B/302 read with Section 34 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the father-in-law who is in custody since 30.10.2014 and main witnesses namely the brother, father and husband of the deceased have been examined and all of them have not supported the prosecution version. He refers to the statement which have been placed on record.

Learned State counsel submits that all the private witnesses have been examined.

The main witnesses of the prosecution have not supported the prosecution case . The petitioner is in custody since October 2014.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and considering the fact that the trial will take time to conclude, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing local surety and adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**(ANITA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

April 05, 2016