

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No. M-10452 of 2016

Date of Decision:-07.04.2016

Subhash Chander Uppal

...Petitioner

Versus

Satnam Singh

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complaint has been withdrawn by the respondent-complainant and, hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

April 07, 2016
Vijay Asija

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**