

**263 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.10424 of 2016

Date of decision: May 30, 2016

Iqbal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.86 dated 15.09.2003, under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Lambra and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Vide order dated May 03, 2016, parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court, for getting their statements recorded. On 17.05.2016, Ram Dass (attorney of his son Sanjeev Kumar @ Happy-complainant), petitioners, namely, Kulwant Kaur, Gurmit Singh and Iqbal Singh have made the statement that with the intervention of the respectables they have compromised the matter with their own free will and consent and without any undue pressure from any quarter. In compliance thereof, report of trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that the matter has been compromised between the parties voluntarily and without any pressure, threat or coercion.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab & Anr. 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Narinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr., (2014) 6 SCC 466***, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.86 dated 15.09.2003, under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Lambra, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioners.

May 30, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE