

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- 10416-2016

Date of decision: September 29, 2016.

Intzar and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Diwan Adlakha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Chetan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Shri Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties. In FIR No.147 dated 24.12.2015, under Sections 379, 447, 427, 506, 34 IPC, PS Jathlana, District Yamuna Nagar, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. By interim order dated 21.4.2016, he was allowed the relief of ad-interim bail. The petitioner has accordingly joined the investigation. Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Subhash Chand, submits that there is no necessity of obtaining the custody of the petitioner. In that view of the matter, interim order is made absolute.

Disposed of.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

September 29, 2016.

kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No