

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-A-414-MA-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.01.2016

Kiran

.....Applicant

versus

Raj Kumar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: None for the applicant

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

This application for grant of leave to appeal has been filed against the order dated 18.4.2012, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Abohar and the order dated 13.8.2013 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka, stating that the complaint filed by the complainant was dismissed by the Magistrate after recording the preliminary evidence and the order was upheld by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka in criminal revision by dismissing for want of prosecution.

Along with the application for leave to appeal, an application has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 982 days in filing the same. None had appeared on behalf of the applicant on the last date. Even today,

none is present. It is stated in the application that the applicant is a student of 2nd year of LLB. Therefore, she was busy in her examination. Thereafter, after contacting the counsel, the present application was filed. Delay is of more than two and half years. The grounds mentioned in the application from its face are not satisfactory. The exams are not held continuously for two years. Therefore, there is no cogent ground to condone the delay.

Accordingly, the application for condonation of 982 days delay is dismissed. Consequently, the application for leave to appeal is also dismissed.

11.01.2016*gk***(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**