

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10388 of 2016

Date of decision: May 19, 2016

Tarsem Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Reeta Kohli, Addl. AG Punjab with
Ms. Hanspal Virk, AAG Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

Learned Additional AG Punjab points out that the prosecution has lead its entire evidence except that the report to be submitted by the S.I.T. in relation to the FIR, is still awaited. The petitioner or his co-accused are entitled to lead their defence evidence, if any.

No sooner the above stated report is submitted or the defence evidence is over, the trial Court shall decide the matter within two weeks.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE**

**[A.B. CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE**

May 19, 2016

mahavir