

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 10379 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.05.2016**

Gurdev Singh and another

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. K.B.S. Mann, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State assisted by HC Sudhdev Singh.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, is for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of the accused persons, namely, Gurdev Singh and Paramjit Kaur (petitioner Nos. 1 & 2, respectively) in cross case dated 21.01.2016, for offences punishable under Sections 326, 324 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered in case FIR No. 10 dated 15.01.2016 for offences under Sections 452, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana Rural.

For the occurrence on 13.01.2016 at 9:00 PM, there is a version recorded in the FIR at the instance of the present petitioner No.1- Gurdev Singh, who is stated to have received two abrasion injuries and one lacerated wound on the head while petitioner No.2-Paramjit Kaur has

received only one injury on the right wrist.

The dispute was with regard to free flow of water in the street.
The obstruction was created by petitioner No.1-Gurdev Singh.

In the cross-version recorded after eight days of the occurrence, the grievous injuries to Chamkaur Singh and Harwinder Singh are attributed to Jugraj Singh with a *Sword*, who is none else than the son of the petitioners.

In the cross-version, neither the petitioners are alleged to have armed nor any overt act has been attributed to them.

At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that pursuant to order dated 22.03.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioners have since joined the investigation and fully cooperated.

Learned State Counsel, assisted by HC Sukhdev Singh, does not refute the aforesaid factual position and further states that the petitioners are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim protection/pre-arrest bail granted to the petitioners by this Court's order dated 22.03.2016, is made absolute.

Disposed of.

May 28, 2016

'dk kamra'

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE