

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-A-417-MA-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 12, 2016

Shish Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate, and
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the applicant-appellant.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, AAG, Haryana.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the applicant/appellant submits that in view of the compromise effected between the parties, he does not want to press the present application filed under Section 378(4), Cr.P.C., for grant of special leave to appeal as well as the appeal filed along with it.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 12, 2016
apurva