

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-10346 of 2016

Date of Decision:29.08.2016

Ramandeep Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE RITU BAHRI.

Present: Mr.Suvir Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.A.P.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

Mr.Abhishek Singla, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, in a case arising out of FIR No.34 dated 26.08.2015, registered at Police Station Women, District Bathinda, who have been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 498-A, 406 and 109 IPC.

The matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and as per report dated 08.08.2016, it has failed. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant is ready to settle the dispute amicably.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that co-accused of the petitioners i.e. father-in-law and maternal aunt have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 05.11.2015 (Annexure P-3) (colly.), whereas the husband of the daughter of the complainant has been released on regular bail by the Additional Sessions Judge on 10.09.2015. In the present case, petitioner No.1 is sister-in-law, petitioner No.2 is the husband of petitioner No.1, petitioner No.3 is brother-in-law and petitioner No.4 is mother-in-law of Parampal Kaur, daughter of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from the

investigating officer submits that some gold articles are yet to be recovered. Keeping in view the fact that the husband, father-in-law and maternal aunt of the daughter of the complainant have been released on bail, no ground for custodial interrogation of the present petitioners, at this stage, is made out. It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that the marriage of petitioner Nos.1 and 2 has taken place much prior to the marriage of Parampal Kaur, daughter of the complainant, i.e. about ten years back and they are staying separately in their respective house. Petitioner No.3 is married with Gagandeep Kaur much prior to the marriage of Parampal Kaur, i.e. about six years back and he is also residing in his house. As per allegations set-up in the FIR, ₹15 lacs were given as 'Shagun' to the petitioner, who has been released on regular bail. Learned counsel for the State further states that in compliance of the order dated 22.03.2016 passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, order dated 22.03.2016 passed by this Court whereby the ad-interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioners is made absolute. The petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

August 29, 2016
seema

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No