

Crl. Misc. No. M-10286 of 2016

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-10286 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION: 27.05.2016

Ramdeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. K.S. Mamrat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. D.S. Dhanoa, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No. 113 dated 13.11.2011 registered under Sections 406,498-A IPC at Police Station Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Aforesaid FIR was registered on the basis of complaint made by respondent No.2. Subsequently, the matter has been compromised between the parties and both the parties have started to reside together.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the aforesaid

FIR was registered due to misunderstanding between the parties as there were temperamental differences between them but subsequently with the intervention of the relatives and respectables of the society, they have settled their dispute amicably. The compromise was reduced into writing, which was signed by both the parties and is annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition. Complainant-respondent No.2 has no objection in quashing of the FIR and other proceedings.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has not disputed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

Heard the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the allegations levelled in the FIR, compromise arrived at between the parties and also the statements of the parties recorded in compliance of order dated 22.3.2016 along with the report sent by Chief Judicial Magistrate, Fatehgarh Sahib.

It is clear from the statements of the parties recorded in view of direction issued by this Court on 22.3.2016 that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

Since the dispute has been settled between the parties and both the parties have started to reside together; the complainant has no grouse against the husband-petitioner and both are happy in their matrimonial life; no purpose would be served in case the proceedings are continued in future as it would amount to wastage of precious time of the Court. Moreover, it would not be in the interest of the parties as the complainant is not going to support the case of the prosecution. The purpose of the compromise is to maintain peace and harmony in the relations.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the impugned

Crl. Misc. No. M-10286 of 2016

(3)

criminal proceedings arising out of FIR No. 113 dated 13.11.2011 registered under Sections 406,498-A IPC at Police Station Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib as well as all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner, namely, Ramdeep Singh, are hereby quashed.

May 27, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE