

**Sr. No. 122
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-10284 of 2016
Date of Decision: 30.03.2016**

Balbir Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Gurbir Singh Pannu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondent No.2 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

It has been contended that grandson of the petitioner had got married to respondent No.3 against the wishes of the elders and on account of which the petitioner had disinherited his grandson. Thereafter, on account of the strained relations having developed between respondent No.3 and grandson of the petitioner, Sandeep Kaur (respondent No.3) is making an attempt to falsely implicate the present petitioner.

It is the case of the petitioner himself that FIR No.166 dated 12.12.2015, under Sections 420, 406, 506, 120-B of IPC was registered at Police Station Raikot, District Ludhiana at the instance of respondent No.3 but during the course of investigation petitioner has been found to be innocent. Likewise even a complaint is stated to have been filed before the Women' Cell at Jagraon in which the

petitioner and his family members were stated to be involved and even in such complaint the allegations have been found to be false.

The pleadings on record would themselves indicate that the petitioner is not being victimized or harassed by the Investigating Agency. As regards protection of life and liberty is concerned, there is no averment which would substantiate any threat, perception and danger to life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.3.

Under such circumstances, the prayer raised in the present petition cannot be entertained and no directions as such can be passed.

Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

30.03.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**