

515

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-440-1993(O&M)

Date of decision : 18.05.2016

Punjab State Electricity Board

... Appellant

Versus

M/s Bharat Spun Pipe and Tiles Company

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The appellant is aggrieved of the dismissal of the objections filed under Section 30/33 of the Arbitration Act, 1940 seeking setting aside the Award dated 08.10.1991.

Against the same, the present appeal has been filed, whereas vide notification dated 18.10.2006, an amendment in the Punjab Act No.29 of 2006 has been promulgated, whereby, an appeal has to be tried and decided by the concerned District Judge.

In view of the above, the appeal is ordered to be transferred to the District Judge, Patiala to decide the same in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the parties/parties are directed to appear before the District Judge, Patiala on 13.07.2016.

However, the District Judge also ensures that in case of non-appearance of counsel and the parties on the date fixed, shall take appropriate steps in effecting service upon parties in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations, the appeal stands disposed of.

18.05.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE