

118 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10253 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MARCH 22, 2016**

REENA KUMARI & ANR.

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

UT CHANDIGARH & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Petitioners in person along with
 Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7.

2. Notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only at this stage.

3. At the asking of Court, Ms. Ashima Mor, APP, UT Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of UT. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned counsel for UT Chandigarh during the course of day.

4. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to look into the grievances

unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated March 18, 2016

(Annexure P-4) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

5. However, this order shall not be construed as a measure to treat the marriage of the petitioners valid or legal.

MARCH 22, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE