

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10226 of 2016
Date of Decision: 22.08.2016

Beena

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Shalender Mohan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.A.P.S.Gill, AAG Punjab.

Ms.Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for directing the respondents No.1 to 5 to provide adequate security and protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondents No.7 to 11 and further direction to respondent No.6 not to harass and interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioner.

As per reply filed by respondents No.2 and 5, the petitioner residing in H.No.117, Ward No.3, Gol Kothi Hansi, District Hisar and during the course of inquiry statement of the petitioner (Annexure R-1) was recorded to the effect that there is no danger/threat perception to her life and liberty in the area of Hansi whereas she had danger of her life and liberty in Punjab. As per record of the office of SP, Hisar, no complaint of the petitioner had been received in his office.

Learned counsel for the State (Punjab) has filed reply on behalf of respondents No.1,3 4 and 6. The same is taken on record. As per reply,

statement of the petitioner (Annexure R-1/T) was recorded by ASI Sanrtokh Singh to the effect that her marriage was solemnized about 14-15 years ago with Lachman R/o Umarpur Batala and there are three children out of this wedlock. Her husband had gone to abroad from about 5-6 years who often used to come back. She had developed relation with one Amit S/o Ram Nivas due to which she left her children about 4-5 months and stayed with him. Her mother-in-law died on 20.07.2016 and she has come back to Batala in her house on 21.07.2016 with her children. She has no threat perception and does not require any security for protection of her life and liberty. Affidavit (Annexure R-2/T) in this regard has also been attached with the reply.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be issued in the matter and present petition is dismissed as such.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

22.08.2016
anil