

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10216 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.09.2016

Surinder Kamboj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by SI Harpinder Singh.

Mr. J.S. Bedi, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. H.S. Multani, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.56, dated 09.07.2015, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC, at Police Station Nayagaon, District SAS Nagar, Mohali. .

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner is neither the signatory nor the beneficiary of the agreement to sell dated 30.06.2014 in question. The petitioner is not known to the complainant and he is a person of clean antecedents. In pursuance of order dated 22.03.2016, the petitioner has joined the

investigation.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is known to the family of the complainant as daughter of the petitioner has been working as Secretary with mother of the complainant who is working as Administrator at Rayat Bahra College. She further informs that the petitioner is involved in as many as 10 FIRs, the details of which contained in the list is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

The learned counsel for the complainant refers to the call details allegedly made by the petitioner to the complainant on 30.06.2014, the date of agreement to sell and subsequently on various dates which belies the assertions of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

A huge amount of Rs. 30 lacs was allegedly paid by the complainant in pursuance of the agreement to sell dated 30.06.2014. Keeping in view the above, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No