

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -10212 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.09.2016

Simranjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sanjay Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Harpal Singh.

Mr. HPS Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.01, dated 01.01.2016, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station City, NRI Hoshiarpur.

On 30.03.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Counsel places reliance upon order dated 3.3.2016, passed by this Court in CRM No. M-7844 of 2016 and contends that co-accused Manjit Kaur and Inderjit Singh have been granted ad interim protection as regards arrest by this Court. It is contended that the case of the present

petitioner would be on a better footing inasmuch as the alleged beneficiary of the disputed Will was co-accused Inderjit Singh.

Notice of motion, returnable for 26.5.2016.

To be heard along with CRM No. M-7844 of 2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and she shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 30.03.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 30.03.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

07.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No