

132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10178-2016

Decided on: March 21, 2016

Tek Ram Dahiya

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner has discharged his liability as per the allegations, the claim of the complainant stands satisfied and the FIR should be quashed.

I have considered the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner.

The prosecution agency on the basis of the FIR and the material handed over, has not, till date, opted to present the challan against the petitioner. It is not certain that the prosecution agency is going to file the challan in this case or not.

This petition is disposed of as pre-mature at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to approach again, in case the prosecution agency opts to present challan against the petitioner.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

March 21, 2016

ps-I