

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.268-A

CRM NO. M-10149 of 2016
DECIDED ON : JULY 22, 2016

BITTU SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present : Mr. Harmanpreet Singh Cheema, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of DDR No. 29, dated June 02, 2014, under Sections 324, 323 IPC, registered at Police Station Rajpura, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) in case FIR No. 36, dated June 02, 2014, under Sections 324, 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC (offence under Section 307 added later on and offence under Sections 148 & 149 deleted later on), registered at Police Station Rajpura, District Patiala

(Annexure P-2) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated February 16, 2016 (Annexure P-3).

2 Report of learned trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that the matter has been compromised between the parties with the intervention of the respectables, with their own free will, without any coercion, pressure and the same appears to be genuine. Even otherwise, matter involved in these proceedings is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another; 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another (2014) 6 SCC 466***, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and DDR No. 29, dated June 02, 2014, under Sections 324, 323 IPC, registered at Police Station Rajpura, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) in case FIR No. 36, dated June 02, 2014, under Sections 324, 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC (offence under Section 307 added later on and offence under Sections 148 & 149 deleted later on), registered at Police Station Rajpura, District Patiala (Annexure P-2) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioner.

JULY 22, 2016
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE