

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10114-2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 29.07.2016

Satvir Singh @ Money

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, D.A.G., Punjab,  
assisted by ASI Jagjit Singh.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.91 dated 13.07.2015, registered under Sections 307, 326, 323 and 324 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Mahtiana, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner and the complainant are neighbours and residing in the vicinity of each other. The dispute is with regard to raising of a boundary wall. The injury attributed to the petitioner is not on the vital part. He is in custody since 17.07.2015.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner caused grievous injury to the complainant. The challan stands presented, charges framed and out of sixteen prosecution witnesses, five stand already examined.

Heard.

The petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2015. Two co-accused, namely, Amandeep Singh and Kashmiri Lal have already been allowed the concession of bail by the trial Court. Only five out of sixteen prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the conclusion of the trial may take considerable time.

Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, without advertent to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

**29.07.2016***atulsethi***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :      Yes      No

Whether Reportable :      Yes      No