

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

Criminal Misc. No. M-10113 of 2016

Date of Decision:-02.05.2016

Sudesh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Harish Nain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Chauhan, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 7.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Petitioners have filed the present petition for issuance of direction to the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty from the hands of respondents No.5 to 7.

In compliance of order dated 21.3.2016, learned counsel for the petitioners has placed on record a photocopy of the FDR for an amount of Rs.50,000/- in the name of petitioner No.1-Sudesh. Same is taken on record.

On the other hand learned counsel for respondents No.5 to 7 states that they have no objection to the marriage, if any, solemnized by the petitioners.

Learned State counsel states that the petitioners have recorded their separate statements that they do not have any threat to their lives and liberty and they do not need any protection. He further states that the petitioners are living together. Copies of statements of petitioners are taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of this position, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

May 02, 2016
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE