

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-10089 of 2016
Date of Decision: 28.5.2016**

Sarvadanand Giri

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Gitish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Anju Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 35 dated 19.2.2016, registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Counsel for the complainant states that a compromise has been effected.

Counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 21.3.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation. He states that part payment has already been made.

State counsel states that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Looking to the circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 21.3.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

May 28, 2016
Gurpreet