

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -10060 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.07.2016

Pampal Kumar Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab
assisted by ASI Baldev Singh.

Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.122, dated 21.09.2015, registered under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, at Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar.

It is contended that out of Rs.3,50,000/-, a sum of Rs.3,20,000/- has already been paid. The cheque bearing No.921123 amounting to Rs.30,000/- has been dishonoured. He undertakes to issue another cheque within one week from today and ensure that the cheque will be encashed. The factum of receipt of Rs.3,20,000/- is duly admitted by learned counsel for the complainant/respondent

No.2.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, there is no information with regard to compromise and the payment made in pursuance thereof.

Keeping in view the fact that the substantial amount has been paid and only Rs.30,000/- remains to be paid which the petitioner undertakes to repay within one week from today, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.03.2016, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

Needless to mention that in case there is a breach of undertaking, the aggrieved party shall have the liberty to revive the present petition.

08.07.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE