

**238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

SUNITA NAGPAL
2016.07.25 11:02
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

**CRM-A-1953-MA-2015
Date of decision : 21.07.2016**

Sadhu Ram

..... Applicant

versus

State of Punjab And Another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms.Poonam Rani, Advocate
for the applicant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is an application for grant of leave to appeal against acquittal of respondent No.2 in a complaint under Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

The trial Court found that the very basis of the case set up by the applicant could not be established. As per him the incident happened when he had gone to the police station in connection with the complaint against Sanjogita Rani but it was proved that there was no complaint filed against Sanjogita Rani.

In this view of the matter I see no reason to take a different view.

Application is dismissed.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

July 21, 2016

Sunita