

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

Criminal Misc. No. M-10040 of 2016

Date of Decision:-21.3.2016

Harpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Jitender S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner outrightly states that the petitioner restricts his claim only to the extent of his life and liberty. He submits that the petitioner is getting threats at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of copies of paper book to learned State

counsel during the course of the day.

Without commenting on the contents as pleaded in the representation dated 12.3.2016 (Annexure P-2), the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner regarding threat to his life and liberty and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

March 21, 2016
Vijay Asija

(**HARI PAL VERMA**)
JUDGE