

Crl. Misc. No.M-1003 of 2016

1

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

Crl. Misc. No.M-1003 of 2016

Date of Decision: 26.05.2016

Manpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Buta Singh Bairagi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and subsequently, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. The copy of the settlement arrived at between the parties duly signed by both the parties, is already on record.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has also affirmed the factum of compromise and submits that the complainant-respondent No.2 is

now residing happily in her matrimonial home.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the interim order passed by this Court on 13.01.2016 is made absolute.

26.05.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE