

CRM-M No. 10016 of 2016 (O&M)

::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 10016 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : August 16, 2016

Sukhraj Kumar @ Bittu

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. GS Samra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG Punjab

Mr. KS Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

CRM No.12055 of 2016

For the reasons recorded, this application is allowed and the document, Annexure P-6, is taken on record.

CRM-M No.10016 of 2016

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.96, dated 17.8.2013, registered under Sections 302/34 of the IPC, at Police Station Nahianwala, District Bathinda.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that co-accused of the

petitioner, namely, Meenu Sharma whose case was not on better footing as the present petitioner, was granted regular bail by this Court by order dated 26.2.2016 in CRM-M No.1766 of 2016.

Counsel for the complainant as well as learned Addl. AG Punjab, on instructions from HC Nirmaljit Singh, however, state that the case is at the fag end and only one witness remains to be examined. Counsel for the petitioner states that this position has been obtaining since 11.4.2016 and in fact the matter was fixed for today and even the last witness who is a government servant did not appear and the matter has been again adjourned. Learned Addl. AG Punjab has not been able to deny this fact.

In these circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

August 16, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No