

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

1. C.W.P No. 8325 of 1994 (O&M)

Avtar Singh Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr. Respondents

2. C.W.P No. 8326 of 1994 (O&M)

Bhag Singh Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr. Respondents

3. C.W.P No. 8327 of 1994 (O&M)

Amar Singh Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr. Respondents

4. C.W.P No. 8328 of 1994 (O&M)

Rattan Singh & Ors. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr. Respondents

Date of Decision: 01.09.2016

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR. SHEKHER DHAWAN**

Present: - Mr. M.L.Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

This order will dispose of above-mentioned writ petitions.

These are the petitions where a prayer for quashing an order dated

25.05.1994 has been made. The Gram Panchayat filed petition under Sections 4

and 5 of the Punjab Public Premises and Land (Eviction and Rent Recovery) Act, 1973 seeking eviction of the petitioner(s) from the land in question. The application was dismissed, which led to the proceedings before the Commissioner, who accepted the same.

During the pendency of the these petitions, the petitioner(s) filed civil suit also, which was decreed in his/their favour. Consequently, the decree for possession was passed. The Gram Panchayat in turn filed a petition under Section 11 of the Punjab Village Common Lands Act, 1961, claiming ownership of the disputed land, which was dismissed. Pursuant thereto, Gram Panchayat has now filed an appeal, which is pending and fixed for 08.09.2016, as we have been informed.

Having regard to the aforesaid when appropriate proceedings under Section 11 of the Punjab Village Common Lands Act, 1961, have been taken and determined and the appeal has been filed by the Gram Panchayat, learned counsel for the parties are agreed that these writ petitions be disposed of with a liberty to them to pursue their remedies before the appellate Court.

Accordingly, above-mentioned petitions stand disposed of with the liberty aforesaid granted.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

September 1, 2016
tripti

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No
Whether reportable - Yes/No