

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-No.1843-MA of 2015
DATE OF DECISION : 8th AUGUST, 2016

Anurag Gupta

.... Petitioner

Versus

Chunni Lal Bhagat & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for the applicant-appellant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the appellant. He vehemently contended that the trial court went in error in recording the order of acquittal of the respondent. Whereas, as a matter of fact, the petitioner has proved his case to the hilt. He then submitted that the learned trial Judge has given importance to the irrelevant and unimportant deposition in the cross-examination and has thus fallen in error in acquitting the respondent. He thus pray for admission of this appeal and reversal of the judgment of the trial Judge.

Having heard learned counsel and upon perusal of the reasons recorded by the learned trial Judge I find that the trial Judge has recorded detailed finding for recording the order of acquittal. The evidence shows from the cross-examination of the complainant that the complainant had failed to prove its case and on the contrary had given damaging admission in his cross-examination.

In that view of the matter, looking to the fact that the appeal is against acquittal and bearing in mind the parameters in appeal against acquittal, I think no interference is required to be made. Hence the instant appeal against acquittal is rejected.

8th AUGUST, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No