

**326 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.18414 of 1996 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 21, 2016**

Vishwa Nath Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Electricity Board and another **..... Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Nitin Rampal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate for the respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the claim in this case was for medical reimbursment. The petitioner died 3-4 years ago. He has tried his best to trace out the legal heirs of the petitioner but cannot trace out them.

In view of the fact that the legal heirs of the petitioner are not traceable to the counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed.

However, the liberty is given to the legal heirs of the petitioner, if they so desired, they can get the present petition revive.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 21, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No