

1020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15492 of 1998

Date of decision: 15.12.2016

Davinder Sandhu

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Gulshan Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.5 submits that in view of the nature of controversy involved, coupled with the fact that a period of more than 18 long years has lapsed, nothing would survive in the present writ petition, particularly when civil litigation was pending between the parties.

In view of the abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.5, present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

However, since nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner, liberty is granted to the petitioner to get revived the writ petition within a period of three months, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

15.12.2016

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No