

233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-1482-MA of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: August 04, 2016

Sukhpal Singh

.....Applicant

Versus

Constable Trilok Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Baltej Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the applicant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

CRM No.28915 of 2014

Heard.

Application is allowed for the reasons stated therein. Delay of 729 days in filing the present application is condoned.

CRM-A-1482-MA of 2014 (O&M)

Heard learned counsel for the applicant at length.

Learned counsel for the applicant has taken me to the reasons recorded by the learned trial Judge recording acquittal of the accused persons.

I have perused the reasons recorded by the learned trial Judge for recording the order of the acquittal.

In my opinion, the view taken by the learned trial Judge is probable and possible. In the light of the parameters laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Darshan Singh versus State of Punjab, 2010 Vol.2 Supreme Court Cases 333**, in Para 24, I think I will not be able to interfere with the order of acquittal. Hence, the present application is not entertained and is dismissed as such.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 04, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No