

CRM-A-395-MA-2016

231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-395-MA-2016

Date of Decision: 11.04.2016

DILBAG SINGH

..... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

Heard.

The brief allegations are that the accused received the entire consideration amount for the plot and executed the full and final agreement, however, the accused refused to deliver the possession to the complainant.

It being so, it is basically a case of civil nature and the offences under Section 420 and 506 IPC are not made out. Unluckily, in this case, the accused were not only summoned but they were charged to face the trial. Since, the case is basically of civil nature, therefore, no offence as alleged is made out. Resultantly, the present application for grant of leave to appeal stands dismissed.

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**

April 11, 2016

Suresh Kumar