

CRM-A-384-MA-2016

229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-384-MA-2016

Date of Decision: 11.04.2016

SANTOSH

..... Appellant

VS.

AMAR SINGH AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. J.P.Sharma, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

Heard.

In a complaint filed under Section 323, 452 and 506 IPC, it was alleged that the accused trespassed into the house of the complainant and caused injuries to the complainant and his daughter Pinki. It was alleged that the accused Manoj had given slaps, fist blows and fawda blow on them. No MLR was produced before the lower Court. There are oral statements of the witnesses. Lower Court after discussing the evidence held that that there is no corroboration to the self serving statements of the witnesses. It also comes out that there is a State case which was compromised vide judgement Ex. D-1 dated 19.08.2012. Therefore, the lower Court after examining the entire evidence held that the prosecution case is not proved beyond all reasonable doubts.

CRM-A-384-MA-2016

In the absence of MLR, it cannot be said that whatever claimed by the complainant is correct.

Resultantly, the present application for grant of leave to appeal stands dismissed.

[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE

April 11, 2016
Suresh Kumar