

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. A-111-MA-2014 (O/M)

Date of decision : 19.1.2016

Dharam Pal

..... Applicant

Versus

Lakhanpal Bhardwaj

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vishawjeet, Advocate, for,
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the applicant.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for the applicant seeks more time to comply with the order dated 3.8.2015, passed by this Court.

The order, passed by this Court on 3.8.2015, is reproduced as under :-

“ Learned counsel for the applicant seeks one last and final opportunity to furnish correct address of the respondent within a period of two weeks from today, because as per office report, notice could not be issued to the respondent for want of correct address, which was not furnished by the learned counsel for the petitioner, in compliance of the order dated 30.3.2015.

In view of the numerous orders already passed, although, there seems to be no justification in granting any further opportunity to the petitioner, yet in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted.

CRM No. A-111-MA-2014 (O/M)

-2-

However, it is made clear that if the correct address of the respondent is not furnished by the learned counsel for the petitioner within a period of two weeks from today, the present application under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C. shall be deemed to have been dismissed without any reference to the Court.”

The correct address of respondent has not been filed so far. In the order dated 3.8.2015, it was made clear that if the correct address of respondent is not filed within two weeks, the application under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C. shall be deemed to have been dismissed without any reference to the Court.

Since the last order dated 3.8.2015, passed by this Court, has not been complied with, therefore, in terms of the said order, the application under Section 378 (4) Cr.P.C. stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.1.2016
sjks