

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11034-2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 08, 2016

Asha Mehta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.N.D.Achint, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.GPS Bal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly concedes that after filing of the charge-sheet (report under Section 173, Cr.P.C.) the case before learned trial Court is fixed for consideration of charges and, as such, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition to enable the petitioner to make submissions for her discharge as enshrined in Section 239,

Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the above prayer.

Ordered accordingly.

February 08, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE