

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 12652 of 2002 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.08.2016

M/s. Ram & Co.

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU.**

Present: Mr. Arastu Chopra, Advocate
for Mr. Deepak Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, AAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that according to him, though the prayer made in the present petition has been rendered infructuous, however, he has not been able to contact the petitioner. He has further submitted that liberty may be granted to file an application for revival of the petition if anything survives therein.

Dismissed as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to get the petition revived within a period of three months, in case any relief survives.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**

August 11, 2016

Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No