

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Amit Kumar
2016.12.16 17:26
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Civil Writ Petition No. 14169 of 1998
Date of Decision: 16.12.2016

State of Punjab and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Superintendent Canal Officer, Sarhind Canal Circle, Ludhiana (Superintending Engineer) and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mrs. Monica Chhiber Sharma, DAG, Punjab
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Salar, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 4, 6, 10 and 12.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the nature of controversy involved, coupled with the fact that a period of more than 18 years has expired, nothing survives in the present writ petition to be decided at the hands of this Court. In this view of the matter, present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

However, on the request made by learned counsel for the State, as she is not having the latest instructions, liberty is granted to the petitioners to get the present writ petition revived within a period of three months from today, if necessity arises.

16.12.2016
Ak Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No